

An ISO 9001-2008 Certified Institute

**GITAM** 

### GANGA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & MANAGEMENT

Approved by AICTE, Ministry of Education, New Delhi, Recognized under section 2(f) of UGC Act, 1956
Affiliated to Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak ('A+' Grade University Accredited by NAAC) and HSBTE, Panchkula

Reference No. GIT	AM/	Date

Query 1.4.1: Provide sample filled feedback from 1) Students 2) Teachers 3) Employers and 4) Alumni on the syllabus and its transaction at the institution for the year 2020-21.

**Response:** The sample filled feedback from 1) Students 2) Teachers 3) Employers and 4) Alumni on the syllabus and its transaction at the institution for the year 2020-21 is provided.



#### CRITERIA-1:1.4 FEEDBACK SYSTEM CRITERIA-1:1.4.1. FEEDBACK COLLECTED


SR. No.	STAKEHOLDERS	YEAR	SAMPLE SIZE
1	STUDENTS	2020-2021	455
2	TEACHERS	2020-2021	54
3	ALUMNI	2020-2021	301
4	EMPLOYERS	2020-2021	16



STAKEHOLDERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

## CRITERIA-1:1.4.2 FEEDBACK ANALYSIS STUDENTS' FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021


Q. No.	Description	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)	Avg.	Action Taken
Q1	Rate the syllabus and sequence of courses in the programme.	126	163	166	0	0	3.91	NO
Q2	Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialized streams.	0	0	137	146	172	1.92	YES
Q3	Rate the offering of the electives in relation to technological/managerial advancements.	0	0	150	144	161	1.98	YES
Q4	Rate the adequacy of content of the courses for extra/self-learning.	0	153	167	135	0	3.04	NO
Q5	Rate the balance between theory and practical courses.	0	149	164	142	0	3.02	NO





#### CRITERIA-1:1.4.2 FEEDBACK ANALYSIS ALUMNI'S FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021


Q. No.	Description	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)	Avg.	Action Taken
Q1	Rate the extent to which the curriculum meets the prerequisite and basic knowledge required for the professional career/ higher studies.	93	120	88	0	0	4.02	NO
Q2	Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.	69	76	77	79	0	3.56	NO
Q3	Rate the usefulness of Elective courses offered in relation to technological / managerial advancements.	0	80	78	67	76	2.54	YES
Q4	Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academics and industry.	0	79	78	73	71	2.55	YES
Q5	Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry/ society challenges.	33	52	61	149	6	2.86	YES





#### CRITERIA-1:1.4.2 FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021


Q. No.	Description	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)	Avg.	Action Taken
Q1	Rate the course outcomes defined in the curriculum.	0	0	20	21	13	2.13	YES
Q2	Rate the suitability of the text books/ reference books suggested in the curriculum.	15	14	. 11	14	0	3.46	NO
Q3	Rate the distribution of contact hours to the course.	24	14	16	0	0	4.15	NO
Q4	Rate the balance between theory and practical courses.	0	22	25	7	0	3.28	NO
Q5	Rate the electives offered in relation to technological / managerial advancements.	2	36	12	4	0	3.67	NO





## CRITERIA-1:1.4.2 FEEDBACK ANALYSIS EMPLOYERS' FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM 2020-2021

Q. No.	Description	Excellent (3)	Good (2)	Fair (1)	Avg.	Action Taken
Q1	Content and Coverage	8	8	0	2.5	NO
Q2	Adequacy of the core courses	2	14	0	2.13	NO
Q3	Ordering of the courses	6	10	0	2.38	NO
Q4	Adequacy of the elective courses	0	4	12	1.25	YES
Q5	Practical content in the curriculum	5	7 .	4	2.06	NO





### AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT

#### **Students**

- Q2. Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialized streams.
- Q3. Rate the offering of the electives in relation to technological/managerial advancements.

#### Alumni

- Q3. Rate the usefulness of Elective courses offered in relation to technological / managerial advancements.
- Q4. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academics and industry..
- Q5. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry/ society challenges.

#### **Faculty**

Q1. Rate the course outcomes defined in the curriculum.

#### **Employers**

Q4. Adequacy of the elective courses.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Add-on Courses should be offered.
- 2. Industrial Visits/Expert Lectures should be organized.
- 3. Students should be encouraged to enroll for the skill enhancement programmes.
- 4. Course outcomes should be defined using Bloom's Taxonomy.
- 5. Students should be motivated to register for NPTEL/SWAYAM/MOOCS courses.

**IQAC** Coordinator

Academic Coordinator

### ACTION TAKEN REPORT

Title	Action taken on the basis of feedback about Curriculum given by the Stakeholders during session 2020-21.
Recommendations	<ol> <li>Add-on Courses should be offered.</li> <li>Industrial Visits/Expert Lectures should be organized.</li> <li>Students should be encouraged to enroll for the skill enhancement programmes.</li> <li>Course outcomes should be defined using Bloom's Taxonomy.</li> <li>Students should be motivated to register for NPTEL/SWAYAM/MOOCS courses.</li> </ol>
Action Taken	<ol> <li>Add-on Courses are offered.</li> <li>Industrial Visits/Expert Lectures are organized.</li> <li>Students registered for online courses.</li> <li>Course outcomes of G-Scheme Syllabus are redefined.</li> </ol>

**HODs Signature** 

Res Johnson



Name: Himanshi Poply

# GANGA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT KABLANA, JHAJJAR

### Student's Feedback on Curriculum

Branch: Civil/Mech./ECE/EE/FI	rs/cse/com	puter Appli	cations/Ma	nagement	<i>3</i> .
ate the particulars by putting tick mark in the ap	propriate cel	1.			
articulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
ate the syllabus and sequence of courses in the rogramme.		<u></u>			
ate the offering of the electives in terms of their elevance to the specialized streams.			e w		3
ate the offering of the electives in relation to chnological/managerial advancements.					* X
ate the adequacy of content of the courses for ktra/self-learning.		~			
ate the balance between theory and practical ourses.					
uggestions for further Improvement		× **			

Roll No. 18 68 A 002

DIRECTOR

Signature



### Student's Feedback on Curriculum

Session: 2020 - 21 Semester: 11th. Programme: M.TECH/B.TECH/BCA/MCA/MBA
---

Branch: Civil/Mech./ECE/EE/FTS/CSE/Computer Applications/Management

Particulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
Rate the syllabus and sequence of courses in the programme.	V	c . s			,
Rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the specialized streams.					~
Rate the offering of the electives in relation to technological/managerial advancements.					~
Rate the adequacy of content of the courses for extra/self-learning.		~		1	
Rate the balance between theory and practical courses.		1.0	~	· ·	

Sugg	estions for	further In	proveme	nt '				74		,	
		·	-			• • •					
				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					••••••	••••••	
					, k , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		,	ž.		*		WO! 00	1 N		••••••	••••••
						(X)	Chin	Star Star		•••••	••••••••
	<b>C</b> A (	on. A			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TUTIETITE	DIRECT	02			Sanks
Name	SAF	Clica			Roll No.	1717	13H09	3		220	Signature



### Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Session: 2016-20 [2020-21]

Particulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
Rate the extent to which the curriculum meets the prerequisite and basic knowledge required for the professional career/ higher studies.		/			
Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.	/				
Rate the usefulness of Elective courses offered in relation to technological / managerial advancements.				~	
Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academics and industry		~			
Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry/ society challenges.				/	

Suggestions for further Improvement		
······································		
	CHNOLOGY	
	Company of the second of the s	
Name Puiti	DIRECTOR	Signature



#### Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Session: .. 20.20.-21

Rate the particulars by putting tick mark in the appropriate cell.

Particulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
Rate the extent to which the curriculum meets the prerequisite and basic knowledge required for the professional career/ higher studies.	4				
Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		<b>✓</b> .	-	
Rate the usefulness of Elective courses offered in relation to technological / managerial advancements.	2				
Rate the sufficiency of syllabus content to bridge the gap between academics and industry		-			
Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry/ society challenges.					

Suggestions for further Improvement			
	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

Name A.MILL. L. U.M.A.R.

Signature



## **Faculty Feedback on Curriculum**

Session: 2020-21

Particulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
Rate the course outcomes defined in the curriculum.	8			a .	V
Rate the suitability of the text books/ reference books suggested in the curriculum.		V			
Rate the distribution of contact hours to the course.			<b>V</b>		
Rate the balance between theory and practical courses.			V		
Rate the electives offered in relation to the technological / managerial advancements.	n*	V			

Suggestions for further Improvement  Course outcome so	hould be s	edefined:
	•••••	
	WOWGK .	
Name Dibika	DIRECTOR	Signature Criva



### **Faculty Feedback on Curriculum**

Session: 2020-21

Particulars	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Average (2)	Fair (1)
Rate the course outcomes defined in the curriculum.	·		<u></u>	ō.	
Rate the suitability of the text books/ reference books suggested in the curriculum.	, w	-			
Rate the distribution of contact hours to the course.	1_	1 - B			
Rate the balance between theory and practical courses.		V			
Rate the electives offered in relation to the technological / managerial advancements.		~			

Suggestions for further Improvement	: :		
Industrial Training	in 8th Seme hands on	ster she practical	ould be exposur.
Name Amit Palal	DIRECTOR DIRECTOR	Signature	Polj



## **Employers Feedback on Curriculum**

Session: 2020-21

Particulars	Excellent (3)	Good (2)	Fair (1)
Content and Coverage			
Adequacy of the core courses			
Ordering of the courses			
Adequacy of the elective courses			*
Practical content in the curriculum			

Garage in a few fauthor Improvement				
Suggestions for further Improvement	**			
	W CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE		
Service Support in 1847  Mayors Sulversay  Name & Signature	130 Fer	Mu Si o o	Inctrum India ( C-5, oki Area	ent Laboratory Puto Ltdo no Industryial phase I.
Name & Signature	Gand 1	n) ( $u$	er fenj	